



Food and Drug Administration Rockville MD 20857

Re: Vitreon Docket No. 98E-0849

NOV 17 2003

The Honorable James E. Rogan
Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office
Box Pat. Ext.
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Director Rogan:

This is in regard to the patent term extension application for U.S. Patent No. 4,490,351 filed by Vitrophage, Inc. under 35 U.S.C. § 156. The patent claims Vitreon, PMA P910068.

In the March 17, 2003, issue of the <u>Federal Register</u> (68 Fed. Reg. 12707), the Food and Drug Administration published its determination of this product's regulatory review period, as required under 35 U.S.C. § 156(d)(2)(A). The notice provided that on or before September 15, 2003, 180 days after the publication of the determination, any interested person could file a petition with FDA under 35 U.S.C. § 156(d)(2)(B)(i) for a determination of whether the patent term extension applicant acted with due diligence during the regulatory review period.

The 180-day period for filing a due diligence petition pursuant to this notice has expired and FDA has received no such petition. Therefore, FDA considers the regulatory review period determination to be final.

Please let me know if we can provide further assistance.

Sincerely yours,

Jane A. Axelrad

Associate Director for Policy

ene a. axelias

Center for Drug Evaluation and Research

cc:

David J. Josephic

Wood, Herron & Evans, L.L.P. 2700 Carew Tower, 441 Vine Street

Cincinnati, OH 45202